CLIFTON RANGER DISTRICT APACHE/SITGREAVES NATIONAL FOREST ANNUAL OPERATING INSTRUCTIONS (AOI)

Lop Ear The Eric & Jean Schwennesen Family Trust 2019

L PERMITTED USE:

The following table illustrates the number of livestock and season of use permitted on the Lop Ear Allotment as per Term Grazing Permit # 03010328 issued to The Eric & Jean Schwennesen Family Trust on March 1, 2016.

KIND	CLASS	NUMBERS	AUM	SEASON OF USE
Cattle	Cow/Calf	60	158	03/01 to 04/30
Cattle	Cow/Calf	30	238	05/01 to 10/31
Cattle	Cow/Calf	60	317	11/01 to 02/28
promote in the second state of the second			a resource continued in continued and section devices and a section of the continued and the continued	

II. AUTHORIZED USE:

The following numbers and classes of livestock are authorized to graze on the

Allotment this year.

KIND	CLASS	NUMBERS	AUM	SEASON OF USE (grazing year 03/01/19 to 02/28/2020)
Cattle	Cow/Calf	84		08/01 - 09/30/2019 TBD 01/01/2020 - 2/28/2020
THE RESERVE AND A SECURITY AND A SEC	Bull	8		08/01 - 09/30/2019 TBD 01/01/2020 - 2/28/2020
Horse/Mule	Mature	3		08/01 — 09/30/2019 TBD 01/01/2020 — 2/28/2020

Bill in July for on date 8/01/2019 permittee to notify of any change. TBD 01/01/2020 – 2/28/2020 (will generate additional bill if on date used).

III. ROTATION SCHEDULE: Livestock use is authorized as follows in the pasture rotation schedule. Deviations from this must be made in advance and amended in the AOI.

Actual dates may vary (+ or - 5 days) dependent on utilization levels, range conditions and time required moving livestock.

PASTURE	NUMBER	SEASON OF USE(calendar)	GRAZING INTENSITY	KEY AREA	KEY SPP
Badlands	95	8/01/2019-8/31/2019	35 – 45%	men neuronaamen vaarnaamen suosia saasia	
Cold Springs	95	09/01-09/30/19	35 – 45%	Accessor of Manager Control Adjust Charles Access to the December of Manager Charles and Adjust Charles and	
Badlands	95	*TBD 01/01/2020 - 2/28/20	35 – 45%	challenge & Wildow and Wildows and a support of the support	
Cold Springs	95	*TBD 01/01/2020 – 2/28/20	35 – 45%		

DROUGHT CONTIGENCY (2209.13 11-14 R3 supplement2013 19.1 Drought Guideline)

"The question for land managers is not will drought occur, but are land managers prepared for drought? Land managers and grazing permittees, must plan for drought as a normal part of management and business. The Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) is a unit of measure that compares recent precipitation values for a period of interest with long

term historical values to assess moisture conditions in a given area. In the Southwestern Region, anytime the SPI reaches a value of minus 1.00 or less for the preceding 12 month period, grazing allotments should be evaluated for existing drought conditions."

The District wants to be proactive and plan for drought like conditions and/or lacking stock water availability when those conditions materialize and incorporate into AOI grazing management and pasture rotation as much as possible.

IV. ALLOWABLE USE STANDARDS (Grazing Intensity)

Allowable use of forage is based on the amount and kind of forage on the allotment, plant needs, range condition, trend, and grazing management strategy. Duration, frequency, and timing may be manipulated within the grazing schedule to meet allowable use standards. Grazing intensity may be described in terms herbage removed during the grazing and/or growing period, or as a utilization level at the end of the growing period. Removal of leaf material, when the plant is actively growing can affect root growth which in turn affects future leaf growth. Sufficient leaf area is essential to support plant functions through photosynthesis.

The allowable use levels for this allotment are established for key areas and key species by pasture for the time period livestock are in a pasture. The use on key species in key areas should be used as a trigger the length of the grazing period in each pasture. The establishment of the utilization standards is consistent with 36 CFR 222 regulations, FSM 2210 and 2230, and FSH 2209.21.

For simplicity, key areas are generally considered as follows: 1) full capacity rangeland located on ridgetops/mesas within a ¼ mile from available water sources; 2) canyon bottoms/riparian areas with free flowing water or springs regardless of distance from water; 3) any area containing full capacity range with erosive soils and insufficient/marginal ground cover to protect the soil; or 4) areas containing habitat, whether occupied, suitable and unoccupied, or potentially suitable habitat, for threatened, endangered, or proposed species that are of concern to the Forest Service.

Key areas should be designated in cooperation with the Forest Service and the permittee.

Grazing Intensity is discussed by Holechek and others (Holechek, Jerry L., Rex D. Pieper, and Carlton H. Herbel. 2004. Range Management, Principles & Practices. Prentice Hall, page 248):

Table 3. Qualitative characteristics of grazing intensity categories used to characterize New Mexico rangelands (Holechek & Galt. 6/00, Rangelands).

Qualitative Grazing Intensity Category	Use of Forage by Weight	Qualitative Indicators of Grazing Intensity
Light to non-use	0-30	Only choice plants (key species) and areas show use. There is no use of poor forage plants
Conservative	31-40	Choice forage plants (key species) have abundant seed stalks; Areas more than a mile from water show little use: About one third to one half primary forage plants show grazing on key areas.
Moderate	41-50	Most of accessible range show use; Key areas show patchy appearance with one half to two thirds of primary forage plants (key species) showing use; Grazing is noticeable 1-1½ miles from water
Heavy	51-60	Nearly all primary forage plants show grazing on key areas: Palatable shrubs show hedging: Key areas

Severe 61+

show a lack of seed stalks: Grazing is noticeable in areas over 1½ miles from water

Key areas show a clipped or mowed appearance (no stubble ht.): Shrubs are severely hedged; There is evidence of livestock trailing to forage; Areas over 1½ miles from water lack stubble height.

V. ADMINISTRATION

- 1. The permittee will record actual use as it occurs; including livestock numbers and dates your permitted livestock are in a pasture. This information will be reported at the next annual validation meeting.
- 2. Any change or deviation from this Annual Operating Instructions is to be coordinated and confirmed in <u>advance</u> with the District in an AOI amendment. Additionally, as per terms and conditions of the grazing permit, the permittee shall provide 5 days' notice of moving livestock on or off the allotment. Credit or refunds generated are based upon this documentation. If emergency conditions require making a change immediately, the permittee will notify the District as soon as practical.
- 3. Livestock remaining in pastures beyond the specified rotation date, that are allowed to drift between pastures, or grazing in rested pastures may be considered a violation of your Term Grazing Permit.
- 4. Livestock should be moved when forage utilization objectives have been met or within 1 week of planned rotation dates, unless changes have been confirmed with District Range personnel.
- 5. The District may spot check range improvements before the entry date to insure improvements are in a satisfactory condition. Livestock will not be allowed to enter pastures if assigned improvements are not maintained to proper standards. Livestock are not allowed to enter pastures if fences will not keep livestock where they are placed.

VI. SALT AND MINERAL BLOCK

Typically, salt or mineral blocks are not to be placed within a quarter mile of water or drainage bottoms. With District Ranger approval, salt may be placed closer than a quarter of a mile to water for specific purposes. Salt will be used to attract cattle to areas of a pasture typically not utilized and or for soil/range condition treatment purposes. Blocks may be removed by the District if found near water, over-utilized areas, meadow bottoms or roads. Feeding and/or supplements such as molasses are not authorized, unless on a case by case for specific purposes and approved by the District Ranger.

VII. MONITORING

Monitoring and evaluation is an essential aspect of good rangeland management. Monitoring and evaluation can be described as the gathering of information so the manager knows what is happening to rangeland resources and why. The intent of monitoring and evaluation is to test the success of the management strategy and if needed, make adjustments. The following types of monitoring can be collected and will be used by the Forest Service for management decisions.

- 1. Forage Production
- 2. Forage Utilization/ Stubble Height measurements.
- 3. Photo Points
- 4. Condition of Improvements
- 5. Actual Use
- 6. Grazing Response Index
- 7. Precipitation.

VIII. RANGE IMPROVEMENT CONSTRUCTION / MAINTENANCE

The permittee will maintain all range improvements that are assigned for maintenance on pages 11 - 14 of the Term

Grazing Permit. Reconstruction or new improvements requires written authorization by the District Ranger through a Permit Modification. When improvements are completed the permittee will inform District Range personnel to schedule an inspection. Before using machinery to clean any pond within defined wildlife habitat, the permittee is required to give the District Office at least 45 days advance notice so that the tank can be inspected for threatened or endangered species. All work involving the use of heavy equipment will be accomplished only after prior approval of the District Ranger.

npletion Date	Description/Comments		Status Done /Date
	it salahak 11 hillionit 11 salam 140 salam 6 st salam hil salamahil sa [malah 1,10 salah 1,10 salah 1,10 salah	og hidderdhoed? Abou hombhill hidurom eidhoerrom eidhoerrom hid piloromhid od be eidhid ei eispeileid gobe, d'Mho dho	non referendance - I to all the second of th
	PROCESSOR STORE TO THE STATE OF	ina and men and antique that accompanies more than melana are first at our product out menderals and	
		des som til med kredet som men skenste med en det forde om om et til med tre min med en det som en en en en en	
	a many management and management a property of a management of the state of the sta	and the control of th	
			Description/Comments

IX. PROTECTION

The permittee, his agents and employees, when acting within the scope of their employment, and his contractors and subcontractors will protect the land and property of the United States, waived private land and other land under jurisdiction of the Forest Service covered by and used in conjunction with this permit. Protection will include taking all reasonable precautions to prevent, make diligent efforts to suppress and report promptly all fires on or endangering such land and property. During periods of high fire danger, branding fires will be allowed by permit only.

X. ALLOTMENT INSPECTIONS

Forest personnel may conduct periodic brief inspections of pastures within the allotment at any time to verify actual use, improvement conditions, or other non-range related activity. The permittee will be notified and invited to participate on extended (3-5 days) inspections.

Planned inspections for Grazing Year 19:

Pasture	When	Location

Notes:

XI. PERMIT CONDITIONS

This Annual Operating Instructions is hereby made a part of the Term Grazing Permit as provided for in Part 2, Section 8(a). It complies with the standards and guidelines found in the Forest Plan.

Failure to comply with any of the terms and conditions specified in Parts 1, 2, and 3 of your Term Grazing Permit may result in suspension or cancellation, in whole or in part, after written notice. This is found in Part 1, Section 3, of your permit.

PERMITTEE DATE

| 12/14/2018 | DATE

Appendix: 92.14b – Describing Grazing Intensity (FSH 2209.13 – GRAZING PERMIT ADMINISTRATION HANDBOOK CHAPTER 90 – RANGELAND MANAGEMENT DECISIONMAKING.

Grazing intensity may be described in terms herbage removed during the grazing and/or growing period, or as a utilization level at the end of the growing period. It is important to clearly define how intensity is being viewed and described. Removal of leaf material, when the plant is actively growing can affect root growth which in turn affects future leaf growth. Sufficient leaf area is essential to support plant functions through photosynthesis. Heavy to severe intensity or utilization can affect current plant development and growth, as well as growth during subsequent growing seasons.

Grazing Intensity is discussed by Holechek and others (Holechek, Jerry L., Rex D. Pieper, and Carlton H. Herbel. 2004. Range Management, Principles & Practices. Prentice Hall, page 248):

Grazing Intensity as depicted as a utilization level at the end of the growing season as discussed by Holechek and Galt (Holechek, Jerry L. and Dee Galt. 2000. Grazing Intensity Guidelines. *Rangelands* 22(3): 11-14):

Light to non-use 0-30 percent
Conservative 31-40 percent
Moderate 41-50 percent
Heavy 51-60 percent
Severe 61+ percent

Qualitative Grazing Intensity Category	Use at Lorage by Weight	Qualitative Indicators of Grazing Extensity
Light to non-use	0 30	Only choice plants and areas show use. There is no use of poor forage plants
Cartsetyctive	31 10	Choice totage plants have about duit seed stalks. Meas more than a mise from water show little use. About one thad to one hart primary totage plants show grazing on key areas.
Moderate	11 20	Most of accessible range shows user Key areas show patchy ap- pearance with one half to two thirds of primary totage plants showing user Grazing is non-eable in zone 1/18 miles from water
Heavy	\$1 til)	Nearly all primary forage plants show grazing on key areas Palarable staribs show hedging. Key areas show a lack of seed stalk. Grazing is noticeable in areas over 1.5 index from warei
Severe	n1 +	Key areas show a cripped in mowed appearance (no stabble height). Shrubs are severely hedged. There is evidence of five stock trailing to forage. Areas over 1.5 incles from water lack stabble height.

Qualitative Grazing Intensity Category	Use of Forage by Weight	S: H	Forage Residue Guice ¹	
2.000		Blue Grama	Western Wheatgrass	
	·· (%) ··	tinches)		(lbs/acre)
Light to non-use	0-30	2.5+	7,0+	435+
Conservative	31.40	2.0-2.5	4 0-5 0	350-435
Moderate	11-50	(.5-2.0)	3.0-4.0	265-350
Heavy	51-60	(1.1.5	2.0.3.0	180-265
Severe	> 60	< 1.0	< 2.0	< 180

We have found residue guidelines developed by Bemen: (1969) for blue grama range lands in Colorado apoly well to New Mexico blue grama rangelands.

Qualitative Grazing Intensity Category	Use of Forage by Weight						
		Black Grama	Dropseed	Tinecawn	Tobosa	Sacaton	Sideoats Grama
	(9)			linche	<u>«)</u>		
Light to non-use	0.30	5+	9+	5+	94	16+	9+
Conservative	31:-40	4-5	8-9	4.5	7.9	14-16	8 9
Moderate	41.50	3 1	6.8	3.4	5-7	12-14	6-8
	51-60	5 i	4-6	2-3	3-,5	10 12	4-6
Heavy Severe	> 60	< 2	< 4	< 2	< 3	< 10	< 4

Qualitative Grazing Intensity Category	Use of Forage by Weight	Arizona Fescue	Western Wheatgrass	Suchble Height Guide Intermediate Wheatgrass	Mutton grass & Kentucky Bluegrass	Moarrain Muhly
Light to non-use Conservative Moderate Heavy Severe	0-30 3) 40 4) 50 5)-60 > 60	8. 6.7 5-6 4.5 < 4	7- 4-5 3-4 2-3 < 2	(inches) 10+ 8-10 6-8 4-6 < 4	5- 4-5 3-3 2-3 < 2	54 45 3-4 2-3 < 2

. . .